

HANSEN RASMUSSEN, LLC
1835 Village Center Circle
Las Vegas, NV 89134
(702) 385-5533

1 **JOEL F. HANSEN, ESQ.**
Nevada Bar No. 1876
2 **HANSEN RASMUSSEN, LLC**
1835 Village Center Circle
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
(702) 385-5533
4 Attorney for Defendant

5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
9
Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 2:16-cr-00046–GMN-PAL

10 vs.

11 CLIVEN D. BUNDY, et al,
12
Defendants

13 **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PRETRIAL RELEASE OF CLIVEN BUNDY**

14 **I. INTRODUCTION**

15 As shown below, there is no good reason to keep Cliven Bundy in detention until the time of
16 trial. The Court will remember that the tradition of federal law is that one arrested for a noncapital
17 offense shall be admitted to bail and only in rare circumstances should release be denied, and that
18 doubts regarding propriety of pretrial release should be resolved in favor of the defendant. Fifth and
19 Eighth Amendments' prohibitions of deprivation of liberty without due process and of excessive bail
20 require careful review of pretrial detention orders to ensure that mandate of Bail Reform Act of 1984
21 for release under the least restrictive conditions that will reasonably assure appearance has been
22 respected. Pretrial release should be denied only for the strongest of reasons. *United States v.*
23 *Motamedi*, 767 F.2d 1403 (9th Cir. 1985). In that case, the Court ruled against the government, because
24 the determination that the defendant possessed a serious risk of flight, warranting denial of pretrial bail,
25 was not established by preponderance of the evidence, notwithstanding that the defendant, an Iranian
26 citizen, was charged with exporting military items without a license and after being warned that it was
27 illegal to do so, where the defendant had been admitted for permanent residence, the defendant had been
28 living in Los Angeles area since 1976 and had applied for citizenship, defendant had approximately 85

1 relatives in Los Angeles area, many of whom were citizens, his immediate family all resided in the area,
2 the defendant's parents had posted their residence as security on a bond and the defendant had no prior
3 criminal record and no history of alcohol or drug abuse. *Id.* at

4 **II. MR. BUNDY MEETS ALL OF THE CRITERIA IN ORDER TO GRANT A RELEASE**
5 **PENDING TRIAL**

6 The Government has made a lot of accusations about Cliven Bundy having engaged in
7 violent activity. But the only physical violence which occurred during the standoff was tazing a
8 man, knocking a woman to the ground, and stomping on another man's head after he was knocked
9 to the ground. All of these depredations were committed by the government. By contrast, during
10 the standoff Mr. Bundy was never present on the scene of any confrontation. He stayed in his
11 house. He never brandished a weapon at any federal officer. He never stood in the way of any
12 federal officer. He never supplied any weapons to any of the people who had voluntarily come to
13 his ranch. He never directed anyone to assault a federal officer. He never ordered or advised
14 anyone to do anything during the standoff. He never assaulted a federal officer himself. He has
15 never assaulted anyone in his life nor has he ever committed a battery on anyone. He has no
16 criminal history. He has no felonies and no misdemeanors except traffic offenses on his record. He
17 never asked anyone to come there and when they did he told them that they were their own agent.
18 He has never pointed a gun at any federal officer. During the standoff he never physically
19 committed any battery on any federal officer. He never told anyone to point a gun at any federal
20 officer. He has never ever been accused of or committed any crime of violence in the past.

21 As far as his activities in Oregon, he advised the Hammonds to check themselves into the
22 Harney County Jail. He did not advise them to do anything violent. He never advised them to do
23 violence to any federal officers. He just advised them to keep the peace by checking themselves
24 into the jail there.

25 When he is released he is going to go back to the ranch and take care of his chores there. He
26 has no plans after he is released to organize any armed resistance to the BLM or any other federal
27 officers. If he is released, he will go peacefully back to his ranch house and he will not try to flee
28 and/or hide. It has been nearly two years since the standoff, and Cliven Bundy has simply stayed at

1 his ranch. He is not a flight risk. If he were, he would have fled already. He has not. And
 2 he will not.

3 He has no plans to run away and leave Clark County if he is released. He will show up
 4 whenever there is a hearing before this court. He does not intend to hurt anyone in Bunkerville or
 5 its environs. He will comply with a Court Order to have no firearms in his ranch house and he will
 6 not carry a firearm himself.

7 The Government's burden of proof in establishing a risk of flight is by clear
 8 preponderance of the evidence; and although the defendant was charged with
 9 unlawful exportation of arms and was an Iranian citizen, the Government failed to
 10 meet its burden of showing a risk of flight.

11 *United States v. Motamedi*, 767 F.2d 1403 (9th Cir. 1985).¹ That *Motamedi* is still good law is
 12 shown by this quotation from a 2015 case: "Only in rare cases should release be denied, and doubts
 13 regarding the propriety of release are to be resolved in favor of the defendant." Citing *United States*
 14 *v. Motamedi*, 767 F.2d 1403, 1405 (9th Cir.1985). *United States v. Santos Flores*, 794 F.3d 1088,
 15 1090 (9th Cir. 2015).

16 Mr. Bundy is willing to accept as conditions of his release that he will not travel more than
 17 100 miles from his home (i.e. basically to Las Vegas and to St. George). He will not be gone from

18 ¹ The Court explained the difference between the burden of proof for danger to the community
 19 and for flight risk in this passage:

20 Differential treatment comports with the congressional recognition of danger to another or
 21 to the community as a discrete, independent basis for the denial of pretrial release. Since
 22 bail was determined under the former law by the likelihood of defendant's appearance at
 23 trial, and without explicit recognition of the need to protect the community, it is reasonable
 24 to subject the Government to a higher standard of proof when the second purpose is added
 25 as an explicit statutory category. Further, a finding of danger to the community is likely to
 26 involve more specific and quantifiable evidence than is a finding of risk of flight. For
 27 instance, prior convictions, police reports, and other investigatory documents are, as a
 28 matter of course, used to show past histories of violence. From these objective sources, trial
 judges may infer a present danger to the community. Such data is not often available
 regarding the risk of flight. Thus, it is wholly feasible for the Government to satisfy the
 higher burden in showing danger to the community.

In concluding that the Government's burden in denying bail on the basis of flight risk is
 that of the preponderance of the evidence, we are not unmindful of the presumption of
 innocence and its corollary that the right to bail should be denied only for the strongest of
 reasons.

United States v. Motamedi, 767 F.2d 1403, 1407 (9th Cir. 1985)

his ranch for more than 24 hours without permission from the Court's designee. Mr. Bundy is willing to report to any detention officer as often as is required and he is willing to wear a GPS monitor.

Attached hereto as are letters from friends, neighbors, and family members with regard to Cliven Bundy's reputation, his integrity, his honesty, his standing in his community as a good citizen, his activity in his church, and other comments about his character which should help assure the Court of Mr. Bundy's good character and that he does not need to be retained in detention during these proceedings. See Exhibit A (Doc. Nos. 0001-0033). He is not a felon and he should be presumed innocent until and unless proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Furthermore, his wife has volunteered to be the third party custodian.

III. CONCLUSION

Considering the above, there is absolutely no reason to detain Mr. Bundy pending the trial of this case. He is a good family man with eleven children who needs to be home with his family and with his wife so he can do his chores and take care of his family.

DATED this 16th day of March, 2016.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: /s/ Joel F. Hansen
 JOEL F. HANSEN, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar # 1876
 1835 Village Center Circle
 Las Vegas, NV 89134
 Attorney for Defendant

DECLARATION OF JOEL F. HANSEN IN SUPPORT OF THE PRETRIAL RELEASE OF CLIVEN BUNDY

As an officer of the court, I hereby declare and certify that I have gleaned the factual information above in conversations with Mr. Bundy's family members and/or conversations with Mr. Bundy himself. And being personally acquainted with Mr. Bundy and his family for many years, I believe implicitly in his sincerity and integrity in making these commitments to the Court.

/s/ Joel F. Hansen
 Joel F. Hansen, Esq.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5 (b), I hereby certify that on this 16th day of March, 2016, I served a copy of the foregoing MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF RELEASE OF CLIVEN BUNDY as follows:

- ☒ Electronic Service - via the Court's electronic service system; and/or
- ☐ U.S. Mail – By depositing a true copy thereof in the U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed as listed below; and/or
- ☐ Facsimile – By facsimile transmission pursuant to EDCR 7.26 to the facsimile number(s) shown below and in the confirmation sheet filed herewith. Consent to service under NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) shall be assumed unless an objection to service by facsimile transmission is made in writing and sent to the sender via facsimile within 24 hours of receipt of this Certificate of Service; and/or
- ☐ Hand Delivery – By hand - delivery to the address listed below.

DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney
STEVEN W. MYHRE
NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON
Assistant United States Attorneys
NADIA J. AHMED
ERIN M. CREEGAH
Special Assistant United States Attorneys
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, NV 89101

/s/ Lisa M. Sabin
An Employee of HANSEN ♦ RASMUSSEN